	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/933,822	BOWERS, CHARLES EDWARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms Virginia Szigeti</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

4

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested for Counsel to cite a passage which provides support to newly added claim 24. Counsel cited example 3. Examiner proposed to: a) add the limitation of claim 24 to claim 16; b) correct the potential 112 2nd paragraph issue regarding a selected 1st base fiber (i.e. "polyamides, nylon-6, nylon-6,6"); and, c) cancel all non-elected claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel agreed with Examiner proposal, but made a counter proposal of omitting the recited melting range of a binder from claim 16. Examiner agreed.